

Answer to Question #3= No**Additional comments grouped by reason for not wanting RPP****Visitor Parking**

1. I would like RPP - want permit for visitors overnight sometimes
2. I'm a senior citizen that lives alone. I have many family members who live out of the bay area and don't want them to keep moving their car due to permit parking.
3. Relative caretaker comes weekly & needs parking space
4. Too expensive! But, I need to street park when I have contractors & visitors.
5. We have volunteers that need to park
6. When family/friends come to visit, they cannot park for more than 2 hours. They will no longer want to visit.
7. I have an in-home supportive services home helper who wouldn't qualify for the permit
8. I have frequent guests without permits
9. My guests will have no place to park for any length of time.
10. I think parking permits are unfair to service providers who may need to work in the proposed area.
11. People should be able to visit us without worrying about being ticketed.
12. Don't want to have to get permit for occasional out of town guests staying overnight at my house
13. People in my neighborhood have families and guests that visit regularly and need to park for more than 2 hours
14. Guest would not be able to park/visit for more than 2 hours. I sometimes visiting from out of town for overnight
15. I feel that the residential permit parking is not the answer for our neighborhood. 2-hr. time limits for any visitors and family is punitive. People who don't have the permit are forced to worry every 2 hours a lot that our neighborhood does not have this system in place (sic).
16. Permits make it more difficult for friend to visit. Also, my daughter helps us during the week.
17. Re: visiting family -- Putting time limits is very stressful for people. The city is stressful enough. People don't need to worry about the time constantly - I wish it weren't in other neighborhoods as well
18. I have parties, and my guests would get tickets
19. It's a hassle when having visitors. In addition, I think it dis-proportionately impacts low income residents who are forced to share housing because housing costs are prohibitive in San Francisco.
20. My friends and visitors stay for several hours and it's a nuisance for them to have to move their cars around.
21. I have visitors that stay longer than 2 hours
22. Elderly family members visit every week
23. Family and friends visit
24. We often have visitors, like my sons, who will not have passes.
25. Problematic for visitors, better for local shops without paid parking
26. Mother-in-law babysits my son; my vehicle is commercial over 6000 pounds GVW, needed for work

27. My girlfriend visits from elsewhere and wouldn't be able to park
28. Parking permit is a problem when guests visit
29. My children come visit me and stay overnight
30. What about visitors? They get towed? We have friends and family visit frequently overnight.
This idea sucks!
31. I have children that comes and visit and stay overnight.
32. Don't want to hassle with guest parking.
33. Elderly and have children who visit and stay
34. I have guests that help me with medical needs.
35. This is not a business district. Is a residential area. Cars coming from other places are visiting relatives and friends. Requiring a parking permit can cause inconvenience. Because the parking permit is used to limit the parking of commercial vehicles, residential areas should not be set up for limited parking
36. If someone parks they don't stay too long.
37. Do not want to pay for guest parking

Permit Too Expensive

1. Should RPP become effective, all senior drivers should receive 50% or more discount on the permits.
2. Too expensive
3. I think property tax payers should not have to pay to park on their street or should be given a one vehicle discount of 50-75%
4. I want one, but permit is too expensive
5. Owners should not have to pay unless it is more than two vehicles per household or something reasonable. Owners should be entitled to at least one free permit and not pay an annual fee.
6. Too expensive for permit. Cannot afford :(
7. Penalizes poor people and teachers who work in the area
8. We have lived here at the same house for 50 years; we don't need this extra cost
9. Cannot afford to pay the Residential Permit Parking.
10. Permit is too expensive. Permit only applies during the day.
11. Living in SF is becoming increasingly more expensive. However, we would like to have parking available when we come home.
12. We can't pay for permits. It is too much money and we are retired.
13. It is expensive to live in SF; this would affect my quality of life if I were to have to purchase a permit each year.
14. We don't need to pay another fee!
15. I pay too much to live in San Francisco. I can't afford it.
16. I don't want to pay for parking permits
17. I don't want people who can't afford it to not be able to park, or to have people who can't afford to live in the city but work here to have added commute costs or less convenient parking options.
18. Permit fee too expensive
19. Too expensive to pay
20. Cannot afford

21. City does not make more space from the fee we paid. Cost for middle class working family is unnecessary!
22. Too expensive
23. RPP is too expensive
24. Low to middle income residents in these areas. How can you propose to have us pay to park on the street?
25. San Francisco is already an expensive place to live in. Any additional fees to live in the city would take a heavy financial toll on many families in Excelsior, which is a working-class neighborhood.
26. Too Expensive. Why does Mr. Ahsha Safai allow this to happen and allow the city to keep on adding cost to us? The city is already too un-affordable to live in. Any additional expense would be too burden-some and I can't afford it. I know my neighbors can't afford it. Please stop it.
27. San Francisco is already one of the world's most expensive places to live and I am frustrated that the city and supervisor Ahsha Safaí are proposing new ways to increase our living expenses. I feel this is irrational, would only hurt working class homes in the Excelsior, and would not solve any problems.
28. The permit is too expensive
29. Permit is too expensive

Parking on Public Street Should Be Free

1. Our neighbor does not have the issue of others coming in to park. The people who park here live here. We have many people who live in extended family households or have roommates because of the high cost of living. We do not feel the neighborhood should have to pay to park in the neighborhood. We already pay taxes, and do not want another one.
2. I live on Mission St. which has no viable parking. I typically park in the Mission Terrace area as it is safe, close and always has open spaces. However, I would not qualify for a permit there. Parking is much tighter on my side of Alemany St and is plagued by vandalism and break-ins. I feel that a separate permit to park in Mission Terrace would create a de-facto gated community in the area. My taxes pay for those streets and I should be allowed to park on them. Thanks.
3. I don't believe people should pay to park anywhere!
4. How dare you charge me for parking in front of my own house.
5. Permits should be FREE!
6. Property taxes should cover fees
7. Why should we as tax payers have to pay to park on our own street?
8. Only if it were free to residents
9. This is a tax I don't want to pay
10. I do not want to pay to park in my neighborhood. Nor do I want to limit the amount of time visitors can park- it is a pain to go move a car in the
11. I would if it was free
12. I lived here for 18 years and I'm not going to start paying to park. What's next we have to pay to use side walk. Absolutely no!!! This is ridiculous.
13. I don't need to have a permit to park in front of my house.
14. It will make open parking space too rigid for random parking. My property taxes are already too high!

15. We shouldn't have to pay for parking in our neighborhood.
16. We should not have to pay to park!
17. Why should we have to pay \$136 a year to park in our own neighborhood? That would be \$272 a year for two permits! The cost of living in San Francisco is already unmanageable, please to add another cost.
18. I don't believe I should have to pay for a permit when I live in the neighborhood
19. Permit parking will not stop people for exceeding the time limits in the suburbs. Permit parking should be limited to urban city neighborhoods, not the suburbs. I don't want to have to pay to park outside of my own house, it's just another money scheme to take money from the community and use it for crap that we don't vote on.
20. Parking is for everyone
21. Why should I pay to park on my street? I pay property taxes. A permit should be free if you are helping. Parking on the St is hard in all SF.
22. The public should receive free RPP.
23. I'm already paying so much for property tax, stop trying to take more money from the public.
24. No pay fee.
25. Why should I pay to park on a public street with restrictions.
26. I don't believe I should need to buy a permit to park on a street that I am paying taxes to maintain. I also think that an arbitrary limit on the number of permits does not account for adult shared housing.
27. I should not have to pay to park in front of my own house.
28. A fee waiver or discount should be given to each household which owns a vehicle. Permit fee then should be charged for additional one.
29. Multiple permits should be available at no additional cost with the same address.
- 30.

Parking is Worse at Night—Not Daytime

1. The problem with shortage on nights and weekends when residential not enforced
2. Enforcement does not take place at night
3. Parking is only an issue in the evening and on weekends
4. The parking problem is after 9pm. At night the parking problem is caused by residents returning from work. All would be eligible for RPP. It's useless.
5. In my neighborhood spaces fill up at night. Indicating that most spaces are taken up by residents, not other people
6. Where do relatives park when they come to visit or check up on me.
7. Since with residential parking permits in effect, nonresidents are still allowed to park at night, they really don't help residents to find parking. This is just a way for the city to make money. Most people in this part of the city cannot afford RPP.
8. The need is for overnight only. MTA's system is insane in so far as certain blocks are included and other are not resulting in the problem being exacerbated in some areas over others.
9. The parking problem occurs after 6 pm when a residential permit wouldn't apply anyway. There is no shortage of parking during the day, only at night. So we would just be spending money on a permit for no reason.
10. The problem is after 6pm

11. Night time parking is the problem
12. It does not address overnight parking
13. Permit parking does not solve P.M. parking
14. RPP only restricts daytime parking. The problem is there aren't enough spaces at night when everyone comes home.
15. This is a regressive tax that overburdens families with lower income, and who are more likely to have multiple adults with vehicles living in the home. Also, the issue with parking is NOT during the day, so the permit is not beneficial.
16. What would the hours be? The areas that have permit parking until 8 or 9 pm drive me crazy and hurt businesses in the area.
17. I would support permits if it were enforced in the evenings and on weekends. Parking is a problem when we get home from work. I am not concerned with daytime parking. I would support permits if it is enforced 24/7.
18. Permits would not resolve NIGHT-TIME parking issues. Permits are enforced during the daytime only, and there is no problem with daytime parking in the neighborhood.
19. My part of the Excelsior, which is not near businesses by 8 blocks in four directions this would do no good. Just makes money for the SFMTA. We have tons of parking when people are going to work. The problems of parking are when folks get home and they need spaces, but it is not because "outsiders" are overstaying in parking places. Nothing would be helped with 2-hour permits. Forget it.
20. Parking is not an issue in the daytime; only night time parking is an issue.
21. Since the permit is only during the day, it is no use paying for such a permit, since we need to park our cars at night, when we are home after 6 pm. Not worth it!
22. Problem is only in the evening
23. It would charge a fee to residents to park in front of their own house! The main problem is finding parking in the evening/night but that is not addressed because my understanding is that it is monitored during the day time mainly.
24. Daytime parking is not the issue - there is plenty of spots during business hours. The issue is parking after business hours.
25. This won't solve the problem of outside people taking all the spots at NIGHT! And leaving their cars overnight.

There is No Parking Problem

1. I can park on my street
2. Totally unnecessary
3. No need. Not good for my visitors
4. Everybody gets along on the block with parking. Visitors need to park in neighbors' driveway. Guess what, we ring a bell and talk to each other.
5. There is plenty of parking
6. I don't believe we need this permit for now and I think it is too complicated
7. I don't want to pay for a permit since no issue parking
8. I do not think our street needs permit parking. We do not want or need the hassle of 2-hour parking in our neighborhood!
9. This does not solve the parking problem on my street

10. Parking on my street is not difficult enough to justify the extra expense for me or my neighbors. None of the choices here adequately describe our concerns about adding a new layer of costs and parking enforcement on our neighbors.
11. Not needed - no!
12. Not necessary
13. Will not solve problem
14. Not necessary
15. Parking is fine if you are smart about the time of day you come to park your car. If you are not smart about it, you will have to get up early to move for street cleaning but that's doable. What's not doable for many households in the area is the 2-permit limit and the \$136 fee pp per year. My unit is 4 single people all working hard to get by. We need our vehicles to get to our jobs and take care of ourselves. It's already hard enough to find a place to live in this city, when most places are already maxed out of parking spaces or permits, OR which charge hundreds per month for parking space. It's ridiculous and we don't need this here in one of the last affordable neighborhoods to live in. Thanks.
16. Just not have to.
17. Don't need RPP.
18. I don't need 2-hour parking in my neighborhood
19. Do not believe parking permits will solve the parking problem we have in our neighborhood. Lack of parking is not due to non-residential parkers. It is due to parking supply not meeting demand of local residents.
20. Have kind neighbors, no need RPP.
21. Not necessary, do not require RPP

We Have Too Many Cars

1. I have three cars
2. I rent out space in my house to be able to afford to live here. This would put me at risk.
3. We have 2 drivers
4. We have more than 1 vehicle
5. With only 1 or 2 parking spaces available in front of my house, it's simply not enough when you have multiple drivers.
6. The two units in this building have one shared mailbox and therefore more cars for this "household" than the rules would permit.
7. Multifamily household
8. We own more than 3 vehicles
9. My family and I rent an in-law unit. Our land lord rents out his garage to his brother. There are other tenants upstairs that have one vehicle. We have 3 downstairs. My brother and sister have a car to use to go to work and can't take public transportation because the commute would take longer and the transfer won't make them be on time. I use my car for errands and to take my mom to the hospital. I work for SFMTA as a Muni operator. I can't afford anymore bills. I'm already struggling to make ends meet.
10. What's not doable for many households in the area is the 2-permit limit and the \$136 fee pp per year. My unit is 4 single people all working hard to get by. We need our vehicles to get to our jobs and take care of ourselves.

11. Generally, no problem finding parking before 7 pm. Mother-in-law unit and main unit = 3 families. 5 adults drive to work at different locations, 3 of which are outside the City.
12. The permit doesn't help resident parking but adds expenses to low income family, especially many homes have more than 4-5 cars. Moreover, parking is hard past 6pm, so it doesn't help to make it time limit for the morning. People who stay home would have to keep moving cars. This just nonsense.
13. I'd be too expensive for my family because we are 5 drivers with 5 cars.
14. We have multiple vehicles that would need permits...cannot afford multiple tags.
15. This will not solve the issue of nighttime parking. Already been acknowledged that it can only be loosely enforced. Unfair to people who want to park in front of their own driveway, especially if it's the only place to park at night when the parking situation gets drastically worse, even impossible.
16. Too many kids

I Have a Garage and/or Driveway

1. Have our own parking lot
2. I park on driveway
3. I park in front of my garage in the driveway and do not use regular parking space on the street
4. 2 cars can be parked in my driveway
5. Lots of driveways and garages; no commerce
6. I already pay for separate parking in my building's garage. Why should I pay extra for residential permits? That would be too much and costly for residents.
7. I park in my garage and do not want to pay for the occasional times I need to park on the street. I also don't want a time limit for my guests.
8. Driveway to park, additionally I don't have a gazillion people living in my home with each person having a car and no place to park.
9. Why do I need to park in front of my house, garage 2 vehicles.

Other People's Fault; Not Mine

1. Garages are being used to live in, instead of for parking a car.
2. Many families have several cars
3. Too many renters with more than one vehicle. This won't provide me with street parking.
4. We have too many houses on our block that have more than 2 couples living in a house
5. RPP will not address the real issue: too many cars and commercial vehicles parking on streets.
6. People should use garages for cars, not storage
7. The issue is the housing density. Permits would place an undue hardship on residents.
8. There are bunch of houses with in-law units on our block and therefore the folks applying for the permits would be residents of the area but there is simply not enough parking. There is a guy with a boat that regularly takes up a couple of spots in the area.
9. I am a disabled person me and my husband is over 70 years old plus I have 3 neighbors one used 2 parking places in order to save when he gets off work to park his other car.

10. SFMTA should stop using my tax money for this frivolous matter. The main problem is the transit parking. This is for the day only. Main problem people leaving their vehicles for days at the same spot, however do not live here.
11. People from other streets block my driveway and keep their cars in front of my house.
12. Business has been operated in the neighborhood. Commercial vehicles are parked in residential area. These are the cause of parking problem in my neighborhood. I believe it is a parking violation to park commercial vehicles in residential areas overnight.

Just A Means for SFMTA to Make More Money

1. Unnecessary not near commercial streets. No parking guarantees only big bucks \$ for city and yearly prices and residents all not being told exactly what voting for!
2. I despise giving more money to SFMTA. I rather drive around till I can find parking. Works for me.
3. Don't want it. Also think it's a way for the City to charge more money.
4. The city already takes too much money from us
5. This seems solely a money-making exercise there is absolutely no need where we live.
6. I am tired of the city trying to take advantage of us by finding ways to take parking spaces and creating red zones where parking spaces should be and now trying to create a permit parking to get more city money.
7. Most of the households on the block have at least 2 cars associated with the household. Whether or not there is paid residential parking, the cars will still be there. It will not free up parking spaces. All it will do is to put more money into the already outrageously greedy MTA coffers and make it more expensive for middle income and lower-middle income working poor to live in San Francisco.
8. City takes too much money already!

Won't Solve Problem

1. I don't think this solves our problem
2. This will not solve the parking issue
3. All the cars parked here are owned by families that live in the Excelsior so why would residential permit parking do anything?
4. There is never any parking anyway. How is this going to help?
5. Even with permits there will not be enough parking because of the Jewish Center on our block all the employees park there.
6. The RPP will not solve the problem of neighbors parking closer just to catch the bus at my corner. The RPP area would have to be small to make an impact.
7. One-way street with one-side parking only, therefore not enough space available even for residents. Therefore, permit requirement would be not beneficial and counter-effective, and do not want to be required to pay permit fee to park on the street, when there is already insufficient number of spaces per household.
8. All the people parking on my street are residents
9. I am skeptical of the value of the permit process and restrictions and believe it would make the current situation much worse.

10. There are only residents parking in this area. It won't change anything.
11. Does not work
12. Parking won't change the fact that people park on the sidewalks, which is the issue.

RPP Is for Areas Impacted By Commuters Only

1. Not a commercial area!!!
2. Since there are no businesses, there is no reason for RPP
3. This is not a business area. Homes. When you have friends or family every two hours they have to run out to move their cars. NO!
4. There are no businesses in our neighborhood

Business parking

1. My business has customers who need to park
2. Customers would suffer

Other

1. I should be able to park on my driveway at any time!!!
2. I have lived at this address for 30 years & my car is registered to this address.
3. Handicapped
4. This is unfair burden on lower income people and families. All the people parking here are residents. It's not like there's business parking taking up the spaces.
5. RPP is elite-ist & evil
6. This is one of SF's few neighborhoods where a permit is not required. I'd like to keep it this way.
7. I don't feel strongly. Neighborhood quality of life is the big concern.
8. (everyone needs parking--RPP would help)
9. I don't like the idea of more parking enforcement in the neighborhood.
10. What Is The Need?
11. Street cleaning clears street every week
12. It is cumbersome to get a permit and to renew it. With a cost.
13. SA DAMI NG MGA SASAKYAN NGAYON AY KULANG ANG PRARDAHAN SA BAWAT KALYE, KAYA KAHIT MAY PERMIT KA AY MAARING WALA KA DIN MAPARBDAHAN.
14. I would like to have the residential permit because sometimes we have to park in my driveway. I'm not sure at this time.
15. "I am not sure at this time" is not an online choice, but is on paper version.
16. What is the procedure for disabled using a placard? Why not give homeowners on block free residential parking and charge people without residential sticker a ticket?
17. This neighborhood should not be privatized with permits and meters. Allow this neighborhood to remain as it is, it's not broken!
18. No
19. I encourage people to ride Bart. A lot of people park here and walk to Bart. That's a good thing. My car is in the garage and my niece's car is outside.
20. We are a poor neighborhood
21. RPP needs to be revisited when condos@ Alemany & Ocean near Safeway are built.

22. Makes neighborhood feel too commercial. Leave our neighborhood alone. Go away!
23. Not the right answer for the problem
24. Permits are annoying
25. Have kind neighbors, no need RPP.
26. There are only residents parking in this area. It won't change anything.
27. Regulate commercial vehicle parking and unpermitted auto mechanics instead.
28. Sometimes I have to rent a car for work and need to park on the street
29. I like that we all park on the sidewalk, this program is a great example of careful what you wish for.
30. Enforce current parking rules and this isn't a problem
31. Please expand residential parking in areas that already have it. Please continue helping people clear out garages so they can park their cars there. I am concerned about our working-class community that can't afford this tax. We have more important issues to focus on.
32. With all the new housing being built at Safeway and near Silver and Geneva, there will be NO parking even with the parking sticker. I think it's too expensive to pay for parking even when there will be no spaces in front of my own house.
33. We don't need a time limit to park on the street.
34. It's just an unnecessary overstep
35. More SFMTA parking checks.
36. Stop giving \$100 parking tickets to people who can't afford them you f[****]in parking Nazi pieces of s[***]
37. Leave second car outside city usually but occasionally need to bring it in for a day or two and park on the street
38. It is hurting the neighborhood
39. The inconvenience and cost to others
40. Parking permits are a punitive measure against working class families, who, due to the ridiculous cost of housing in this city, have multiple generations living under the same roof, therefore multiple drivers and multiple expensive permit fees. This is really just an initiative by newer and wealthier gentrify-ers to mark their place and status in a traditionally lower income and diverse neighborhood.
41. It gives preference to those who can afford it. Undemocratic!
42. Because when I park in other RPP areas it is very difficult. I don't wish that on anyone. Parking away from destination can be very dangerous for women.
43. There is already SOME/PARTIAL residential 4 Hours parking to some households on this block. This is beneficial for my household when it comes to parking. I'd vote to keep it the same please.
44. It's not good for the neighborhood and it would be a hardship for the residents.
45. Stop ignoring underserved neighborhoods
46. I'm not sure at this time.
47. This would cause less parking space
48. We already get enough tickets by overzealous SFMTA parking enforcement officers
49. We have permit parking already V
50. I am somewhere between NO and I'm not sure. I want to think and talk to neighbors about this.
51. I would only need a permit sometimes when I have a rental so the price is not worth it.
52. Please design Parking lot or Perpendicular parking
53. It'll create unnecessary amounts of speeding vehicle driving around for parking space risking the safety of the elders and children around the area.

- 54. I strongly believe that this will be a way to gentrify my neighborhood. This will affect to the most vulnerable residents in our districts. No to the parking permit.
- 55. Will cause unnecessary problems
- 56. Seniors living in house and each have different car due to illness in addition two young kids living in house would be too expensive SF is expensive as now parking is available just need to be courteous to neighbors and respect rules.
- 57. When the City designed the corners some years back, it got rid of some parking spots
- 58. It's very inconvenient
- 59. I'm not sure
- 60. I don't want cars currently parked on sidewalks to get tickets when they are clearly not blocking wheelchairs or strollers
- 61. This will push parking farther out and will force more support personnel out of the city. This city NEEDS to be more business friendly